arXiv:1410.3121v1 [math.RA] 12 Oct 2014

On a generalization of NC-McCoy Rings

Mohammad Vahdani Mehrabadi *, Shervin Sahebi ** and Hamid H. S. Javadi ***

*,** Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University,
Central Tehran Branch, 13185/768, Iran,
email: md _vahdani@yahoo.com; sahebi@iauctb.ac.ir

*** Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shahed University,
Tehran, Iran, email: h.s.javadi@shahed.ac.ir.

Abstract: In the present paper we concentrate on a natural generalization of NC-McCoy rings that is called J-McCoy and investigate their properties. We prove that local rings are J-McCoy. Also, for an abelian ring R, we show that R is J-McCoy if and only if eR is J-McCoy, where e is an idempotent element of R. Moreover, we give an example to show that the J-McCoy property does not pass $M_n(R)$, but $S(R,n), A(R,n), B(R,n)$ and $T(R,n)$ are J-McCoy.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 16U20, 16S36,16W20

Keywords: McCoy ring, Weak McCoy ring, J-McCoy ring, Polynomial ring, Upper triangular matrix ring.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity. For notation $Nil(R)$, $M_n(R)$, $T_n(R)$, $I_n(R)$, E_{ij}, $R[x]$ and $N(R)$ denote the set of all nilpotent elements in R, the $n \times n$ matrix ring over R, upper triangular matrix ring over R, identity matrix over R, unit matrices, polynomial ring over R and the set of all nilpotent elements in R, respectively. Rege -Chhawchharia [9] called a noncommutative ring R right McCoy if whenever polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$

satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, there exists nonzero elements $r \in R$ such that $a_i r = 0$. Left McCoy rings are defined similarly. A number of papers have been written on McCoy property of rings (see, e. g., [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 10]). The name "McCoy" was chosen because McCoy [7] had noted that every commutative ring satisfies this condition. Victor Camilo, Tai Keun Kwak, and Yang Lee [3] called a ring R right nilpotent coefficient McCoy(simply, right NC-McCoy) if whenever polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, there exists nonzero elements $r \in R$ such that $f(x)r \in N(R)[x]$. Left NC-McCoy rings are difined analogously, and a ring R is called NC-McCoy if it is both left and right NC-McCoy. They proved for a reduced ring R and $n \geq 2$, $M_n(R)$ is neither right nor left NC-McCoy, but $T_n(R)$ is a NC-McCOy ring for $n \geq 2$. Moreover, it is shown that R is right NC-McCoy if the polynomial ring $R[x]$ is right NC-McCoy and the converse holds if $N(R)[x] \subset N(R[x])$.

Motivated by the above results, we investigate a generalization of the right NC-McCoy rings The Jacobson radical is an important tool for studying the structure of noncommutative rings, and denoted by $J(R)$. A ring R is said to be right J-McCoy (respectively left J-McCoy) if for each pair of nonzero polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ with $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then there exists a nonzero element $r \in R$ such that $a_i r \in J(R)$ (respectively $r b_j \in J(R)$). A ring R is called J-McCoy if it is both left and right J-McCoy. It is clear that NC-McCoy rings are J-McCoy, but the converse is not always true. If R is J-semisimple (Namely, $J(R) = 0$), then R is right J-McCoy if and only if R is right McCoy. Moreover, for Artinian rings, the concepts of NC-McCoy and J-McCoy rings are the same.

2 J- McCoy Rings

We start this section by the following definition:

Definition 2.1. *A ring R is said to be right J-McCoy (respectively left J-McCoy) if for each pair of nonzero polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$, $f(x)g(x) = 0$ implies that there exists a nonzero element $r \in R$ with $a_i r \in J(R)$*

(respectively $rb_j \in J(R)$). A ring R is called J-McCoy if it is both left and right J-McCoy.

It is clear that NC-McCoy rings are J-McCoy, but the converse is not always true by the following example.

Example 2.2. *Let A be the 3 by 3 full matrix ring over the power series ring $F[[t]]$ over a field F. Let*

$$B = \{M = (m_{ij}) \in A \mid m_{ij} \in tF[[t]] \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \text{ and } m_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i = 3 \text{ or } j = 3\}$$

and

$$C = \{M = (m_{ij}) \in A \mid m_{ij} \in F \text{ and } m_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

Let R be the subring of A generated by B and C. Let $F = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that every element of R is of the form $(a + f_1)e_{11} + f_2e_{12} + f_3e_{21} + (a + f_4)e_{22} + ae_{33}$ for some $a \in F$ and $f_i \in tF[[t]]$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Consider two polynomials over R, $f(x) = te_{11} + te_{12}x + te_{21}x^2 + te_{22}x^3$ and $g(x) = -t(e_{21} + e_{22}) + t(e_{11} + e_{12})x \in R[x]$. Then $f(x)g(x) = 0$ but there cannot exist $0 \neq r \in R$ such that $f(x)r \in N(R[x])$, concluding that R is not right NC-McCoy.

Next we will show that R is right J-McCoy. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} M_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} N_j x^j$ be nonzero polynomials in $R[x]$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Since $M_i = (a_i + f_{i1})e_{11} + f_{i2}e_{12} + f_{i3}e_{21} + (a_i + f_{i4})e_{22} + a_ie_{33}$ for some $a_i \in F$ and $f_{ij} \in tF[[t]]$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$, then for $C = te_{11}$ we have $M_iC = (a^i + f_1^i)te_{11} + f_3^ite_{21} \in J(R)$. Thus R is right J-McCoy ring.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R such that R/I is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring. If $I \subseteq J$, then R is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring.*

Proof. Suppose that $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Then $(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \bar{a_i} x^i)(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{b_j} x^j) = \bar{0}$ in R/I . Thus there exists $\bar{c} \in R/I$ such that $\bar{a_i}\bar{c} \in J(R/I)$ and so $a_ic \in J(R)$. This means R is right J-McCoy ring. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let R be any local ring. Then R is J-McCoy.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let R_k be a ring, where $k \in I$. Then R_k is right (resp. left) J-McCoy for each $k \in I$ if and only if $R = \prod_{k\in I} R_k$ is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.*

Proof. Let each R_k be a right J-McCoy ring and $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$, where $a_i = ({a_i}^{(k)})$, $b_j = ({b_j}^{(k)})$. If there exists $t \in I$ such that $a_i^{(t)} = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m$, then we have $a_i c = 0 \in J(R)$ where $c = (0, 0, \ldots, 1_{R_t}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Now suppose for each $k \in I$, there exists $0 \leq i_k \leq m$ such that $a_{i_k}^{(k)} \neq 0$. Since $g(x) \neq 0$, there exists $t \in I$ and $0 \leq j_t \leq n$ such that $b_{j_t}^{(t)} \neq 0$. Consider $f_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i^{(t)} x^i$ and $g_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_j^{(t)} x^j \in R_t[x] \setminus \{0\}$. We have $f_t(x)g_t(x) = 0$. Thus there exists nonzero $c_t \in R_t$ such that $a_i^{(t)} c_t \in J(R_t)$, for each $0 \leq i \leq m$, since R_t is right J-McCoy ring. Therefore, $a_i(0, 0, \ldots, c_t, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \Pi_{k\in I} J(R_k) = J(R)$, for each $0 \leq i \leq m$. Thus, R is right J-McCoy.

Conversely, suppose R is right J-McCoy and $t \in I$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ be nonzero polynomials in $R_t[x]$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Set

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (0, 0, \ldots, 0, a_i, 0, \ldots, 0)x^i \ , \ G(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (0, 0, \ldots, 0, b_j, 0, \ldots, 0)x^j \in R[x]\backslash\{0\}.$$

Hence $F(x)G(x) = 0$ and so there exists $0 \neq c = (c_i)$ such that $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, a_i, 0, \ldots, 0)c \in J(R) = \Pi_{k\in I} J(R_k)$. Therefore, $a_i c_t \in J(R_t)$ and so R_t is right J-McCoy □

Corollary 2.6. *Let D be a ring and C a subring of D with $1_D \in C$. Let*

$$R(C, D) = \{(d_1, \ldots, d_n, c, c, \ldots) \mid d_i \in D, c \in C, n \geq 1\}$$

with addition and multiplication defined component-wise, $R(D, C)$ is a ring. Then D is right (resp. left) J-McCoy if and only if $R(D, C)$ is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.

Theorem 2.7. *For a ring R, if $R[x]$ is right (resp. left) J-McCoy, then R is right (resp. left) J-McCoy . The converse holds if $J(R)[x] \subseteq J(R[x])$.*

Proof. Suppose that $R[x]$ is right J-McCoy. Let $f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i y^i$ and $g(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j y^j$ be nonzero plynomials $\in R[y]$, such that $f(y)g(y) = 0$. Since $R[x]$ is right J-McCoy

and $R \subseteq R[x]$, then there exists $0 \neq c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + ... + c_kx^k \in R[x]$ such that $a_ic(x) \in J(R[x])$ and so $a_ic_i \in J(R[x]) \cap R \subseteq J(R)$ for all $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Since $c(x)$ is nonzero, there exists $c_l \neq 0$ such that $a_ic_l \in J(R)$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$.

Conversely, suppose that R is right J-McCoy and $f(y)g(y) = 0$ for nonzero polynomials $f(y) = f_0 + f_1y + ... + f_my^m$ and $g(y) = g_0 + g_1y + ... + g_ny^n$ in $(R[x])[y]$. Take the positive integer k with $k = \sum_{i=0}^{m} degf_i + \sum_{j=0}^{n} degg_j$ where the degree of the zero polynomial is taken to be zero. Then $f(x^k)$ and $g(x^k)$ are nonzero polynomials in $R[x]$ and $f(x^k)g(x^k) = 0$, since the set of coefficients of the f_i's and g_j's coincide with the set of coefficients of $f(x^k)$ and $g(x^k)$. Since R is right J-McCoy, there exists a nonzero element $c \in R$ such that $a_ic \in J(R)$, for any coefficient a_i of $f_i(x)$. So $f_ic \in J(R)[x] \subseteq J(R[x])$. Thus $R[x]$ is right J-McCoy. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let R be a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring and e be an idempotent element of R. Then eRe is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring. The converse holds R is an abelian ring.*

Proof. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} ea_iex^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} eb_jex^j \in (eRe)[x] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Since R is a right J-McCoy ring, there exists $s \in R$ such that $(ea_ie)s \in J(R)$. So $(ea_ie)ese \in eJ(R)e = J(eRe)$. Hence eRe is right J-McCoy. Now, assume that eRe is a right J-McCoy ring. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_ix^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_jx^j \in R[x]\setminus\{0\}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Clearly, $ef(x)e, eg(x)e \in (eRe)[x]$ and $(ef(x)e)(eg(x)e) = 0$, since e is a central idempotent element of R. Then there exists $s \in eRe$ such that $(ea_ie)s = (a_i)s \in J(eRe) = eJ(R) \subset J(R)$. Hence, R is right J-McCoy. □

Let R be a ring and σ denote an endomorphism of R with $\sigma(1) = 1$. In [4] the authors introduced skew triangular matrix ring as a set of all triangular matrices with addition point-wise and a new multiplication subject to the condition $E_{ij}r = \sigma^{j-i}E_{ij}$. So $(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) = (c_{ij})$, where $c_{ij} = a_{ij}b_{ij} + a_{i,i+1}\sigma(b_{i+1,j}) + ... + a_{ij}\sigma^{j-i}(b_{jj})$, for each $i \leq j$ and denoted it by $T_n(R, \sigma)$. The subring of the skew triangular matrices with constant mail diagonal is denoted by $S(R, n, \sigma)$; and the subring of the skew triangular matrices

with constant diagonals is denoted by $T(R,n,\sigma)$. We can denote $A=(a_{ij})\in T(R,n,\sigma)$ by $(a_{11},...,a_{1n})$. Then $T(R,n,\sigma)$ is a ring with addition point-wise and multiplication given by $(a_0,...,a_{n-1})(b_0,...,b_{n-1})=(a_0b_0,a_0*b_1+a_1*b_0,...,a_0*b_{n-1}+...+a_{n-1}*b_0)$, with $a_i*b_j=a_i\sigma^i(b_j)$, for each i and j. Therefore, clearly one can see that $T(R,n,\sigma)\cong R[x;\sigma]/(x^n)$ is the ideal generated by x^n in $R[x;\sigma]$. we consider the following two subrings of $S(R,n,\sigma)$, as follows (see [4]):

$$A(R,n,\sigma)=\sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}\sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1}a_jE_{i,i+j-1}+\sum_{j=[\frac{n}{2}]+1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1}a_{i,i+j-1}E_{i,i+j-1},$$
$$B(R,n,\sigma)=\{A+rE_{1k}\mid A\in A(R,n,\sigma)andr\in R\}\qquad n=2k\geq 4$$

In the special case, when $\sigma=id_R$, we use $S(R,n),A(R,n),B(R,n)$ and $T(R,n)$ instead of $S(R,n,\sigma),A(R,n,\sigma),B(R,n,\sigma)$ and $T(R,n,\sigma)$, respectively.

Proposition 2.9. *Let R be a ring. Then S is right J-McCoy ring, for $n\geq 2$, where S is one of the rings $T_n(R,\sigma),S(R,n,\sigma),T(R,n,\sigma),A(R,n,\sigma)$ or , $B(R,n,\sigma)$.*

Proof. Let $f(x)=A_0+A_1x+...+A_px^p,g(x)=B_0+B_1x+...+B_qx^q$ be elements of $S[x]$ satisfying $f(x)g(x)=0$ where the $(1,1)-th$ entry of A_i is $a_{11}^{(i)}$. Then $A_iE_{1n}=a_{11}^{(i)}E_{1n}\in J(S)$ and the proof is complete. □

Let R and S be two rings, and Let M be an (R,S)-bimodule. This means that M is a left R-module and a right S-module such that $(rm)s=r(ms)$ for all $r\in R$, $m\in M$, and $s\in S$. Given such a bimodule M we can form

$$T=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}R & M\\ 0 & S\end{smallmatrix}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}r & m\\ 0 & s\end{smallmatrix}\right):r\in R,m\in M,s\in S\right\}$$

and define a multiplication on T by using formal matrix multiplication:

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}r & m\\ 0 & s\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}r' & m'\\ 0 & s'\end{smallmatrix}\right)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}rr' & rm'+ms'\\ 0 & ss'\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

This ring construction is called triangular ring T.

Proposition 2.10. *Let R and S be two rings and T be the triangular ring $T=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}R & M\\ 0 & S\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ (where M is an (R,S)-bimodule). Then the rings R and S are right (resp. left) J-McCoy if and only if T is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.*

Proof. Assume that R and S are two right J-McCoy rings, and

$$f(x) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_0 & m_0 \\ 0 & s_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 & m_1 \\ 0 & s_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)x + \cdots + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_n & m_n \\ 0 & s_n \end{smallmatrix}\right)x^n,$$

$$g(x) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r'_0 & m'_0 \\ 0 & s'_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r'_1 & m'_1 \\ 0 & s'_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)x + \cdots + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r'_m & m'_m \\ 0 & s'_m \end{smallmatrix}\right)x^m \in T[x]$$

satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Define

$$f_r(x) = r_0 + r_1x + \cdots + r_nx^n,\ g_r(x) = r'_0 + r'_1x + \cdots + r'_mx^m \in R[x]$$

and

$$f_s(x) = s_0 + s_1x + \cdots + s_nx^n,\ g_s(x) = s'_0 + s'_1x + \cdots + s'_mx^m \in S[x].$$

From $f(x)g(x) = 0$, we have $f_r(x)g_r(x) = f_s(x)g_s(x) = 0$, and since R and S are right J-McCoy rings, so there exists $c \in R$ and $d \in S$ such that $r_ic \in J(R)$ and $s_id \in J(S)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Now from the fact that $J(T) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} J(R) & M \\ 0 & J(S) \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, we obtain that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_i & m_i \\ 0 & s_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in J(T)$ for any i, j. Hence T is a right J-McCoy ring. Conversely, let T be a right J-McCoy ring, $f_r(x) = r_0 + r_1x + \cdots + r_nx^n$, $g_r(x) = r'_0 + r'_1x + \cdots + r'_mx^m \in R[x]$, such that $f_r(x)g_r(x) = 0$, and $f_s(x) = s_0 + s_1x + \cdots + s_nx^n$, $g_s(x) = s'_0 + s'_1x + \cdots + s'_mx^m \in S[x]$, such that $f_s(x)g_s(x) = 0$. If

$$f(x) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & s_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)x + \cdots + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_n & 0 \\ 0 & s_n \end{smallmatrix}\right)x^n \text{ and}$$
$$g(x) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r'_0 & 0 \\ 0 & s'_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & s'_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)x + \cdots + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r'_m & 0 \\ 0 & s'_m \end{smallmatrix}\right)x^m \in T[x]$$

Then from $f_r(x)g_r(x) = 0$ and $f_s(x)g_s(x) = 0$ it folows that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Since T is a right J-McCoy ring so there exists $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c & m \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in T$ such that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} r_i & 0 \\ 0 & s_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c & m \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in J(T) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} J(R) & M \\ 0 & J(S) \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Thus $r_ic \in J(R)$ and $s_id \in J(S)$ for any i, j. This shows that R and S are right J-McCoy rings. □

The following example shows that, if R is right J-McCoy ring, then $M_n(R)$ is not necessary right J-McCoy.

Example 2.11. *Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers. It's clear that $\mathbb{Z}$ is J-McCoy, but $M_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is not right J-McCoy. For*

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 \\ x^3 & x^4 & x^5 \\ x^6 & x^7 & x^8 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $M_3(\mathbb{Z})[x]$*, we have* $f(x)g(x) = 0$*. Assume to the contrary that* $M_3(\mathbb{Z})$ *is right J-McCoy, then there exists* $c = (c_{ij}) \in M_3(\mathbb{Z})$ *such that* $(E_{ij}c) \in J(M_3(\mathbb{Z}))$ *for* $i, j = 1, 2, 3$*. Assume* $i = 1, j = 2$*. Therefore,* $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -c_{11} & 1 - c_{12} & -c_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ *is invertible in* $M_3(\mathbb{Z})$*. Hence*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{11}(1 - c_{12})^{-1} & (1 - c_{12})^{-1} & c_{13}(1 - c_{12})^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathbb{Z})$$

and so $c_{12} = 0$*. Similarly,* $c_{ij} = 0$ *for each* i, j*. This implies* $c = 0$*, which is a contradiction.*

Let S denote a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring R consisting of central regular elements. Let RS^{-1} be the localization of R at S. Then we have:

Theorem 2.12. *For a ring* R*, if* R *is right (resp. left) J-McCoy, then* RS^{-1} *is right (resp. left) J-McCoy.*

Proof. Suppose that R is right J-McCoy. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i c_i^{-1} x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j d_j^{-1} x^j$ be nonzero elements in $(RS^{-1})[x]$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Let $a_i c_i^{-1} = c^{-1} a_i'$ and $b_j d_j^{-1} = d^{-1} b_j'$ with c, d regular elements in R. So $f'(x)g'(x) = 0$ such that $f'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i' x^i$ and $g'(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j' x^j \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$. Since R is right J-McCoy, there exists $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a_i' r \in J(R)$ for each i, equivalently we have $1 - t a_i' r$ is left invertible in R for each $t \in R$. So $c^{-1} w^{-1}(1 - t w^{-1} a_i c_i^{-1} r c w) = c^{-1} w^{-1} - t w^{-1} a_i c_i^{-1} r$ is left invertible in RS^{-1}, for each $t w^{-1} \in RS^{-1}$ and so $a_i c_i^{-1} r c w \in J(RS^{-1})$. Thus RS^{-1} is right J-McCoy. □

Corollary 2.13. *For a ring R , let $R[x]$ be a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring. Then $R[x, x^{-1}]$ is a right (resp. left) J-McCoy ring.*

Proof. Let $\Delta = \{1, x, x^2, ...\}$. Then clearly Δ is multiplicatively closed subset of $R[x]$. Since $R[x, x^{-1}] = \Delta^{-1}R$, it follows that $R[x, x^{-1}]$ is right J-McCoy. □

References

[1] F. A. A. Almahdi, C. Bakkari. On right McCoy-Like properties, International Mathematical Forum, 5, 2010, no. 62, 3067 – 3071. 2

[2] M. Baser, T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, (2009). The McCoy condition on skew polynomial rings. Comm. Algebra 37(11) : 4026 – 4037. 2

[3] V. Camilo, T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee. On a generalization of McCoy rings. J. Korean Math. Soc. 50 (2013), No. 5, pp. 959-972. 2

[4] M. Habibi, A. Moussavi, S. Mokhtari (2012), On skew Armendariz of Laurent series type rings, Comm. Algebra 40: 3999-4018. Math. Hungar. 107(3): 207-224. 5, 6

[5] Y. C. Jeon, H. K. Kim, N. K. Kim, T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, And D. E. Yeo, On a generalization of the McCoy condition, J. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), No. 6, pp. 1269-1282.

[6] M. T. Kosan, (2009). Extention of rings having McCoy condition. Canad. Math. Bull. 52(2) : 267 – 272. 2

[7] N. H. McCoy, Remarks on divisors of zero, Amer. Math. Monthly 49(1942), 286 – 295. 2

[8] P. P. Nielsen, (2006). Semi-Commutativity and the McCoy condition. J. Algebra 298 : 134 – 141. 2

[9] M. B. Rege and S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 73(1997), no. 1, 14 – 17. 1

[10] Z. L. Ying, J. L. Chen, and Z. Lei, Extentions of McCoy rings, Northeast. Math. J. 24(2008), no. 1, 85 – 94. 2